

### **REMARKS**

The purpose of this Amendment is to respond to the claim objection in the Office Action of October 25, 2007 wherein claim 3 should have been dependent on claim 1 rather than dependent on cancelled claim 2.

Also attached are two Substitute Declarations which overcome the informalities in the original Declaration. The first substitute Declaration is the original Declaration signed by all the inventors except Christophe Bouchy. The second substitute Declaration is signed by Christophe Bouchy. By inspection, both Declarations provide correct spelling. (The Examiner, Mr. Douglas advised Counsel on November 16, 2007 that this procedure would be satisfactory).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402. Although the office action provides a 3-month period to reply, it was necessary to pay the issue fee in the interim on December 4, 2007. Accordingly, since this response is within the 3-month period, it is believed that a fee is not necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

/I. William Millen/

---

I. William Millen, Reg. No. 19,544  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO  
& BRANIGAN, P.C.  
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400  
2200 Clarendon Boulevard  
Arlington, Virginia 22201  
Telephone: (703) 243-6333  
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410  
Attorney Docket No.: PET-2118  
Date: December 12, 2007